

Classifying Irregular Gabor Frames

Peter G. Casazza*

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri-Columbia
Columbia, MO 65211
pete@math.missouri.edu

Ole Christensen

Department of Mathematics
Technical University of Denmark
2800 Lyngby, Denmark
Ole.Christensen@mat.dtu.dk

March 7, 2001

1 Introduction

A sequence $\{f_i\}$ in a Hilbert space H is a **frame** for H if there are constants $A, B > 0$ satisfying:

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_i |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \text{for all } f \in H.$$

A (respectively B) is called the **lower** (respectively **upper**) **frame bound** of the frame. For $0 < a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we define **translation by a** and **modulation by b** as:

$$T_a g(t) = g(t - a) \quad \text{and} \quad E_b g(t) = e^{2\pi i b t} g(t).$$

If $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we call it a **Gabor frame** (or a **Weyl-Heisenberg frame**). If $(x_m, y_m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\{E_{x_m}T_{y_m}g\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we call this an **irregular Gabor frame**. We refer the reader to [2] and [14] for an overview of Gabor frames.

The motivation for this research was a fundamental result of Feichtinger and Gröchenig [12]:

Theorem 1.1 (Feichtinger and Gröchenig).

Let $0 \neq g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle E_x T_y g, g \rangle| dx dy < \infty.$$

Then there is a box $Q = [0, a] \times [0, b]$ so that if $\{Q_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a tiling of \mathbb{R}^2 by Q , then for every $(x_m, y_m) \in Q_m$, the family $\{E_{x_m}T_{y_m}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an irregular Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We refer the reader to [9] for a unified treatment of the Feichtinger/Gröchenig theory and a proof of Theorem 1.1. Unfortunately, Theorem 1.1 does not give any information about how to choose the box Q . Also, the condition as stated is extremely difficult to check - even for characteristic functions. The

motivation for our research, then, was to find an easily verifiable condition which will still guarantee the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 and to classify the functions satisfying the theorem. Although this particular result will appear in a later paper, in this paper [4] we give complete classifications and simply verifiable conditions for the lattice forms of Theorem 1.1.

We say that (g, a, b) generates a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $(E_{mb}T_{na}g)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In general, even in very special cases it is difficult to identify those functions g and $a, b > 0$ so that (g, a, b) generates a Gabor frame. It is known that $(E_{mb}T_{na}g)$ is not complete for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $ab > 1$. If $ab = 1$, then (g, a, b) generates a frame if and only if it generates a Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. And if $ab < 1$, then if (g, a, b) generates a Gabor frame, then this frame has infinite excess. It is still an open problem to find all $a, b, c > 0$ so that $(\chi_{[0,c]}, a, b)$ generates a Gabor frame (see [8],[13]). For $a = b = 1$, there are classifications of those functions g for which $(g, 1, 1)$ generates a tight Gabor frame (see [5]). Also, $(\chi_{[0,c]}, 1, 1)$ generates an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $c = 1$; it is a normalized tight frame sequence which is not a frame if $c < 1$; and it is not a frame sequence if $1 < c$ (see [8],[13]). The general case of when $(\chi_F, 1, 1)$ generates a Gabor frame for a measurable subset F of \mathbb{R} is a deep question. Casazza and Kalton [6] have shown that this question is equivalent to a classical problem of Littlewood concerning certain complex polynomials and when they have roots on the unit circle.

In light of the above, one can not expect to find good classification theorems in general for functions generating Gabor frames. The reason we are able to get some exact classifications in [4] is that we are requiring (g, a, b) to generate a Gabor frame for a whole range of values of a, b . It turns out that this is a strong assumption and puts easily identifiable restrictions on the functions g . The underlying tool in this paper is a construction of Daubechies [11] and

*The first author was supported by NSF DMS 970618

more exactly, a slightly more general form of this construction due to Walnut (see [14],[15]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a > 0$ be such that:*

(1) *There exist constants A, B such that*

$$A \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - na)|^2 \leq B < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

(2) *We have*

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \neq 0} \beta(k/b) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(s) &= \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x - na) \overline{g(x - s - na)} \right| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_{na} g \cdot T_{na+s} \bar{g} \right\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $b_0 > 0$ such that (g, a, b) generates a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for each $0 < b < b_0$.

To put this result into practice, Walnut [15],[14] switches to working with $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$. This allows us to easily get non-compactly supported functions g generating Gabor frames for a whole range of values of b .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$ be such that g satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 1.2 for some a . Then there is a $b_0 > 0$ such that (g, a, b) generates a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 < b < b_0$.*

It is these constructions which provide the tools necessary for our work. But to put them into practice, we rely on, what is essentially the Poisson summation formula, to transfer the calculations to $L^2[0, 1/b]$ and then use perturbation theory for exponential frames to allow a and b to vary.

2 Results

One quickly realizes that there are several intermediate versions of Theorem 1.1 which are also important. In particular, when can we find a box $Q = [0, c] \times [0, d]$ so that whenever $b \in (0, c]$ and $y_n \in [nd, (n+1)d]$ then $\{E_{mb} T_{y_n} g\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$? We call these **half-irregular** Gabor frames. Or, when is there a box $Q = [0, c] \times [0, d]$ so that whenever $x_m \in [mc, (m+1)c]$ and $y_n \in [nd, (n+1)d]$ then $\{E_{x_m} T_{y_n} g\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$? It is these questions we answer in this paper.

We were surprised to discover during this investigation that the **WH-Frame Identity** of Daubechies holds for half-irregular Gabor frames. Also, the **CC-Condition** [3] holds for this case.

Theorem 2.1. *Given $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $b > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, assume that*

$$\sup_k \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - y_n)| \cdot |g(t - y_n - k/b)| < \infty.$$

Then the following holds:

(i) *For all bounded and compactly supported functions f we have:*

$$\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, E_{mb} T_{y_n} g \rangle|^2 =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(t)} f(t - k/b) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t - y_n) \overline{g(t - y_n - k/b)} dt \\ &= b^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - y_n)|^2 dt + \end{aligned}$$

$$b^{-1} \sum_{k \neq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(t)} f(t - k/b) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t - y_n) \overline{g(t - y_n - k/b)} dt$$

(ii) *Assume that*

$$A = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - y_n)|^2 - \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t - y_n) \overline{g(t - y_n - \frac{k}{b})} \right| \right] > 0$$

and let

$$B := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t - y_n) \overline{g(t - y_n - \frac{k}{b})} \right|.$$

Then $\{E_{mb} T_{y_n} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds $\frac{A}{b}, \frac{B}{b}$.

Casazza and Lammers [7] showed (in a form disguised by the notation) that there is a pointwise form of the WH-frame Identity which characterizes when a family $\{E_{mb} T_{y_n} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame. In this paper we show that this result holds for half-irregular Gabor frames.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $b > 0$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a relatively separated sequence of real numbers. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *$\{E_{mb} T_{y_n} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame with frame bounds A, B .*

(2) *For all bounded, compactly supported $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have:*

$$A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(t - j/b)|^2 \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t - k/b) \overline{g(t - k/b - y_n)} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{f(t - \ell/b)} f(t - \ell/b - j/b) \cdot \\
& \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t - y_n - \ell/b) \overline{g(t - y_n - (\ell + j)/b)} \\
& \leq B \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(t - j/b)|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}
\end{aligned}$$

A fundamental result for Gabor frames $(E_{mb}T_{na}g)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with frame bounds A, B is that

$$A \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - na)|^2 \leq B, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

It was shown by Casazza and Christensen [3] that this condition is not a necessary condition for a Gabor family to form a frame for its closed linear span. We show in this paper that this condition is necessary for irregular Gabor frames.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that $\{E_{x_n}T_{y_n}g\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds A, B . There is an $a > 0$ so that $\{E_{x_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2[0, a]$ with frame bounds say A_1, B_1 . Furthermore*

$$A/B_1 \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(t - y_n)|^2 \leq B/A_1 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (2.1)$$

In the results that follow we will assume that our g is in the Wiener space $W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$ where for $1 \leq p < \infty$ we define

$$W(L^\infty, \ell^p) = \{f : \sum_n \|\chi_{[n, n+1]}g\|_\infty^p < \infty\}.$$

We do not know if this assumption is necessary but we suspect that it is. In the paper we show that $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^2)$ is necessary.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that there exist $a, b > 0$ such that for all $y_n \in [na, (n+1)a]$, $\{E_{mb}T_{y_n}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds A, B . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
bA &\leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in [an, a(n+1)]} |\chi_{[an, a(n+1)]}g(t)|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\chi_{[an, a(n+1)]}g\|_\infty^2 \leq bB.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^2)$.

The first main classification for Gabor frames in the paper concerns the question of which functions g have the property that for a whole range of a, b the family $(E_{mb}T_{na}g)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists a box $Q := [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and an $A > 0$ such that*

$$P(x, y) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(x - ny)|^2 \geq A \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in Q.$$

(b) *There exists $a_0 > 0$ so that for all $0 < c_0 < a_0$, there are $b_0, A > 0$ such that for all $a \in [c_0, a_0], b \in (0, b_0]$, $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with lower frame bound A .*

In some sense it is a surprise that we can give such exact conditions on a function g for it to generate Gabor frames since the general question of classifying functions $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ giving Gabor frames is open. What is different here is that we are requiring that we get a frame for this fixed g for a whole range of choices of a, b and not just one choice. It turns out that in this case, the function g has to satisfy quite exact conditions. Note that we get the conclusion of Theorem 2.5 if g is continuous and non-zero at one point or if $|g|$ is bounded below on an interval in \mathbb{R} . An important part of this work is to give exact estimates for the bounds on $(x_m), (y_n)$ so that $(E_{x_m}T_{y_n}g)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For example, the proof of Theorem 2.5 gives the bounds,

Corollary 2.6. *Let $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$ and assume there is a box $Q = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ so that*

$$A \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(x - ny)|^2 \quad \text{a.e. } (x, y) \in Q.$$

Let $a_0 = \min(b_1 - a_1, b_2 - a_2)$ and $0 < c_0 < a_0$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $8\epsilon \|g\|_{W, c_0} + 4\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{A}{2}$, and choose a natural number N so that

$\sum_{|n| \geq N} \|g \cdot \chi_{[c_0n, c_0(n+1)]}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. Choose b_0 so that $1/b_0 \geq 2a_0N$. Then for all $0 < c_0 \leq a \leq a_0$ and all $0 < b \leq b_0$, $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame with frame bounds $A/2, B = A/2 + \|g\|_{W, c_0}$.

The next main result in the paper concerns half-irregular Gabor frames and is also a complete classification.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$. The following are equivalent:*

(a) *g is bounded below on an interval in \mathbb{R} .*

(b) *There are numbers $a, b_0, A > 0$ so that for all $0 < b \leq b_0$ and all $y_n \in [an, a(n+1)]$, $\{E_{mb}T_{y_n}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame with lower frame bound A .*

Note that “ g bounded below on an interval” means that there is an interval I in \mathbb{R} so that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in I} |g(t)| \geq A > 0.$$

Again, it is important to see exactly how to pick the parameters which work in Theorem 2.7.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $|g|^2$ be bounded below by $A > 0$ on some interval I . Let $a = |I|/2$ and choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that*

$$8\|g\|_{W,a}\epsilon + 4\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{A}{2}.$$

Next, choose a natural number N so that

$$\sum_{|n| \geq N} \|g \cdot \chi_{[an, a(n+1)]}\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

Finally, let $b_0 = (2aN)^{-1}$. Then this a, b_0, A work in Theorem 2.7.

The final main theorem in the paper is the classification theorem for irregular lattices. The proof of this theorem introduces a new approach for computing Gabor frame bounds which should have broader applications in the field.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $g \in W(L^\infty, \ell^1)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) g is bounded below on an interval.
- (2) There exist $a, b_0, A > 0$ such that for all $b \in]0, b_0]$, and all $x_m \in [mb, (m+1)b]$, and $y_n \in [na, (n+1)a]$, $\{E_{x_m} T_{y_n} g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with lower frame bound A .

Again, it is important to know how to pick the parameters in Theorem 2.9.

Corollary 2.10. *Assume $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| \leq 1$ and that $|g|$ is bounded below by $C > 0$ on an interval I . Let $a = |I|/2$ and*

$$A = \frac{(40)(32)}{a} \|g\|_{W,a}^2.$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that

$$4\epsilon(1 + 2\|g\|_{W,a}) \leq \frac{C^2}{4a}.$$

Choose a natural number L so that for all $\frac{1}{2} \leq b \leq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{2|n| \geq L} \|\chi_{[n/b, (n+1)/b]} g\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

Finally, choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\frac{MC^2}{4a} \geq \frac{(80)(32)}{a} \|g\|_{W,a}^2,$$

and

$$\sum_{2|n| \geq M} \|\chi_{[n/b, (n+1)/b]} g\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon a}{2L}.$$

Now let $b_0 = M^{-1}$. Then this a, b_0, A satisfy Theorem 2.9.

The proof of Theorem 2.9 relies on an exact calculation of certain constants which arise in the Balan/Christensen generalization of the Kadec 1/4-Theorem (see [1] and [10]). Recall that for a Bessel sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space H the **pre-frame operator** associated with $\{f_n\}$ is the operator $L : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H$ given by

$$L\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n f_n.$$

It is well-known that the adjoint $L^* : H \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$L^* f = \{\langle f, f_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Theorem 2.11. *Let $0 < b < 1/4$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$ with $K \geq 4\pi$, and let $x_m \in [\frac{mb}{K}, \frac{(m+1)b}{K}]$. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{\sqrt{b}T_{k/b}E_{x_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\sqrt{b}T_{k/b}E_{\frac{mb}{K}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are frames for $L^2[0, 1/b]$. Denote the pre-frame operators by R_k and L_k respectively. We have*

- (1) $L_k L_\ell^* = KI$ if $k - \ell = nK$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $L_k L_\ell^* = 0$, otherwise.
- (2) $\|KI - R_k R_\ell^*\| \leq 20$, if $k - \ell = nK$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) For $k - \ell \notin K\mathbb{Z}$, $\|R_k R_\ell^*\| \leq 20$.

Finally, a set of frame bounds for $\{\sqrt{b}T_{k/b}E_{x_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $A = \frac{K}{4}$ and $B = 4K$.

We also give a general approach to doing frame bound computations with irregular Gabor frames. Since these two results are relatively straightforward and should have applications outside of this paper, we will state them with proofs below.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $b > 0$ and $x_m, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\{x_n\}$ relatively separated. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $R_k : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L^2[0, \frac{1}{b}]$ be the pre-frame operator for $\{\sqrt{b}\chi_{[0, 1/b]} T_{k/b} E_{x_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, E_{x_m} T_{y_n} g \rangle|^2 =$$

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle R_k^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}) \rangle, \\ R_\ell^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g}) \rangle_{\ell^2}.$$

Proof: We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, E_{x_m} T_{y_n} g \rangle|^2 = \\ & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{k/b}^{(k+1)/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{k/b}^{(k+1)/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right) \\ & \quad \times \overline{\left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\ell/b}^{(\ell+1)/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right)} \\ &= \sum_{n,m,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{k/b}^{(k+1)/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right) \\ & \quad \times \overline{\left(\int_{\ell/b}^{(\ell+1)/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n} g(t)} e^{-2\pi i x_m t} dt \right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^{1/b} (T_{k/b} f(t)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \overline{(T_{y_n+k/b} g(t)) \sqrt{b} T_{k/b} \overline{E_{x_m}(t)}} dt \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\int_0^{1/b} \overline{(T_{\ell/b} f(t)) (T_{y_n+\ell/b} g(t)) (\sqrt{b} T_{\ell/b} \overline{E_{x_m}(t)})} dt \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\langle (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}, \sqrt{b} T_{k/b} \overline{E_{x_m}}) \rangle_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \langle (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g}, \sqrt{b} T_{\ell/b} \overline{E_{x_m}}) \rangle_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]_{\ell^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle R_k^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}), \\ & \quad R_\ell^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g}) \rangle_{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.12 leads to an alternative proof of the half-irregular WH-Frame Identity. It is this new proof which forms the basis for our approach to the general irregular lattice cases.

Alternative Proof of Theorem 2.1 (i)

We will use Theorems 2.10 and 2.11. To this end, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let L_k be the preframe operator for

$\{\sqrt{b} T_{k/b} E_{mb}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. So $K = 1$ in Theorem 2.8 and hence $L_k L_\ell^* = I$ for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we just compute starting with Theorem 2.11.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, E_{mb} T_{y_n} g \rangle|^2 = \\ & \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle L_k^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}), \\ & \quad L_\ell^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g}) \rangle_{\ell^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}, \\ & \quad L_k L_\ell^* (\chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g}) \rangle_{L^2[0,1/b]} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{k/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g}, \\ & \quad \chi_{[0,1/b]} T_{\ell/b} f \overline{T_{y_n+\ell/b} g} \rangle_{L^2[0,1/b]} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n,k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 T_{k/b} f(t) \overline{T_{y_n+k/b} g(t)} \\ & \quad \times T_{y_n+\ell/b} g(t) \overline{T_{\ell/b} f(t)} dt \\ &= b^{-1} \sum_{n,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 \overline{T_{\ell/b} f(t)} T_{y_n+\ell/b} g(t) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t-k/b) \overline{g(t-y_n-k/b)} dt \\ &= b^{-1} \sum_{n,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\ell/b}^{(\ell+1)/b} \overline{f(t)} g(t-y_n) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t-k/b) \overline{g(t-y_n-k/b)} dt \\ &= b^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(t)} g(t-y_n) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t-k/b) \overline{g(t-y_n-k/b)} dt \\ &= b^{-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(t)} f(t-k/b) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(t-y_n) \overline{g(t-y_n-k/b)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Gabor frame identity for irregular frames. □

References

- [1] R. Balan: *Stability theorems for Fourier frames and wavelet Riesz bases*. Jour. of fourier Anal. and Appls., Vol. 3, No. 5 (1997) 499-504.
- [2] P. Casazza, *Modern tools for Weyl-Heisenberg (Gabor) frame theory*. Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics, Vol. 115 (2000) p. 1-127.
- [3] Casazza, P. and Christensen, O.: *Weyl-Heisenberg frames for subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$* . Proceedings AMS, Vol. 129, No. 1 (2001) p. 145-154.
- [4] Casazza, P. and Christensen, O.: *Classifying certain irregular Gabor frames*. Preprint.
- [5] Casazza, P., Christensen, O. and Janssen, A.J.E.M.: *Classifying tight Weyl-Heisenberg frames*. Contemp. Math 247 (1999) p. 131-148.
- [6] Casazza, P. and Kalton, N.J.: *Roots of complex polynomials and Weyl-Heisenberg frame sets*.
- [7] Casazza, P. and Lammers, M.: *Bracket products for Gabor frames*, Preprint.
- [8] Casazza, P. and Lammers, M.: *Classifying characteristic functions giving Weyl-Heisenberg frames*. Proceedings of SPIE, San Diego (2000).
- [9] Christensen, O.: *Atomic decomposition via projective group representations*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 6 No. 1 (1996), p. 1289-1312.
- [10] O. Christensen: *Operators with closed range and perturbation of frames for a subspace*. Canad. Math. Bulletin Vol. 42, No. 1 (1999) p. 37-45.
- [11] Daubechies, I.: *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 36 (1990) p. 961-1005.
- [12] Feichtinger, H. G. and Gröchenig, K.: *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decomposition*. J. Funct. Anal. 86 (1989), p. 307-340.
- [13] Janssen, A.J.E.M.: *Zak transforms with few zeros and the tie*. Preprint.
- [14] Heil, C. and Walnut, D.: *Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms*, SIAM Review, 31 (4) (1989) 628-666.
- [15] Walnut, D.: *Weyl-Heisenberg wavelet expansions: existence and stability in weighted spaces*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD (1989).